

Wayne Hedberg - Re: North Lily Mining Company - Tintic Project final reclamationstatus (M/023/007)*

From: "Robert J. Bayer" <rbayer@jbr-env.com>
To: "Wayne Hedberg" <whedberg.nrogm@state.ut.us>
Date: 11/16/2001 5:46 PM
Subject: Re: North Lily Mining Company - Tintic Project final reclamationstatus (M/023/007)

Wayne- Please accept this message as my confirmation that we will proceed expeditiously to get the reclamation done. To that end we have done the following this afternoon:

- ordered slightly modified seed mix (four wing saltbrush replacing non-available bitterbrush, as approved by phone this afternoon (11/16) by Lynn Kunzler). Otherwise, seed mix and application rate (15.1 lb./ac.) remains the same as previously applied in Fall 2000. Seed will be delivered to JBR's office for safe keeping next week (by 11/23).

- confirmed availability of composted manure (30 CY/acre as was done last time for a total of 300 CY) for delivery to site on 11/26 - from Elberta Dairy.

- contacted Greg Hawkins of Brush Resources re: finalizing his cost estimate for doing the reclamation work. He confirmed their availability to start work on 11/26 or 11/27. He will submit his bid to me on Monday, 11/19. Greg will also see if he can get manure at a reduced all-in cost from a Delta farm.

- we will work out manure spreader rental next week.

- Greg Hawkins proposes the following approach to this fall's reclamation work:

- spread manure

- then rip and broadcast seed at the same time.

Greg says that this is the method he recently discussed with Doug Jensen and Tom Munson regarding another reclamation project he is working on. Both Doug and Tom reportedly felt that this was an optimal approach.

I plan to use Brush for the work presuming their bid is realistic, as I am optimistic that it will be.

If the reclamation sequence discussed above is not acceptable, please contact me ASAP.

If any questions comments, or concerns, please contact me directly. I will keep you informed if any of the foregoing changes. At this point I estimate a start date of 11/26 - though Brush will probably mob. on that day. I would think we are looking at two days plus mob. time to get the job done barring problems. Because of Walt's busy schedule at Mercur, I have not involved him yet in the details of this planning. I have been in contact with him recently (and again today) and he is aware of the schedule. Assuming his schedule allows, I plan to have him present at job start or thereabouts and will have him come by once a day for the next day (or 2) to observe the work as necessary and if he is available.

Thanks very much for your cooperation!

Bob Bayer

----- Original Message -----

From: "Wayne Hedberg" <whedberg.nrogm@state.ut.us>
To: "Doug Jensen" <DJENSEN.NROGM@state.ut.us>; "Joelle Burns" <JBURNS.NROGM@state.ut.us>; "Lynn Kunzler" <LKUNZLER.NROGM@state.ut.us>; "Paul Baker" <PBAKER.NROGM@state.ut.us>; "Tom Munson"

0037

<TMUNSON.NROGM@state.ut.us>

Cc: <rbayer@jbr-env.com>; "Mary Ann Wright" <MWRIGHT.NROGM@state.ut.us>

Sent: Friday, November 16, 2001 4:11 PM

Subject: North Lily Mining Company - Tintic Project final reclamationstatus
(M/023/007)

> I returned a call to Bob Bayer of JBR this afternoon in response to his earlier call to Joelle Burns regarding the scheduling of the final reclamation work at the Tintic Heap Leach Project. Bob stated that JBR had just received a wire transfer of funds from North Lily this afternoon to allow them to perform the remaining reclamation work at the Tintic project site. JBR was waiting to schedule the remaining work until they received confirmation that the funds to perform the work were available.

>

> Bob indicated that it would not be possible for them to meet the November 26th deadline to complete the remaining reclamation work, given this delay in receipt of funds. However he felt they could make all necessary scheduling arrangements to complete the work by November 30th. I told him to proceed as expeditiously as possible to perform the required work and that I would advise management accordingly of the situation.

>

> I subsequently contacted Mary Ann Wright and informed her of this latest development. She concurred to have JBR proceed accordingly and said she would advise the Division Director upon his return to the office.

>